



Creating Your Proposal Presentation

Atissa Banuazizi

atissa@mit.edu

5 December 2013

Overview

- Goals and components of the Module 3 Presentation
- Planning as a team
- Delivering the presentation as a team
- Revising as a team

A proposal presentation has a distinct audience and purpose

Persuading evaluators to support your research project

- Assume that your audience comprises
 - experts in your topic
 - intelligent generalists with exposure to your field
- How can you make your proposal compelling?
 - Convince audience that project is worth doing
 - Convince audience that you are capable of carrying it out

Help your audience understand the motivation for your idea

- Broadly: What is the problem? What is its (social, scientific) significance?
- Specifically: How have you zeroed in on a well-defined research question?
 - What about your project is novel, relative to prior work?

Help your audience appreciate the merits of your approach

- Provide a clear overview of the scope of your plan
 - be realistic, not overambitious
- Propose pertinent experiments with good controls
- Explain your methods succinctly
- Demonstrate the kind of data you might see
 - show how they will illuminate your central question
- Offer alternative solutions/backup plan

12 minutes to cover...

- brief project overview
- sufficient background information for everyone to understand your proposal
- statement of the research problem and goals
- project details and methods
- predicted outcomes if everything goes according to plan and if nothing does
- needed resources to complete the work
- societal impact if all goes well

Early decisions should be planned jointly

Discuss, pre-drafting:

- What to put in/leave out
 - What does audience need to know?
 - What do they not really need to hear?
- How to organize flow of information
- How much time should be allotted to each element of the talk
- Your assumptions
 - you know the project better than audience -- certain connections may make sense to you and not them

Dividing up the presentation: general principles

- Each partner should speak roughly the same amount of time
- Audience will assume: a change in speakers corresponds to change in topic
- Keep shifts to a minimum
 - changing speakers can distract audience/slow the talk down
- Many options for dividing the talk!
 - depends on the shape of your presentation..



Dividing up the presentation:

Option 1 (Down the Middle)

Speaker 1:

- brief project overview
- sufficient background information for everyone to understand your proposal
- statement of the research problem and goals
- project details and methods

Speaker 2:

- predicted outcomes if everything goes according to plan and if nothing does
- needed resources to complete the work
- societal impact if all goes well

division assumes that Part I is roughly as long as Part II

Dividing up the presentation:

Option 2 (The Sandwich)

Speaker 1:

- brief project overview
- sufficient background information for everyone to understand your proposal
- statement of the research problem and goals

context = bread

- societal impact if all goes well

Speaker 2:

- project details and methods
- predicted outcomes if everything goes according to plan and if nothing does
- needed resources to complete the work

experiment nuts and bolts = filling

Dividing up the presentation:

Option 3 (Back and Forth)

Speaker 1:

- brief project overview
- project details and methods
- predicted outcomes if everything goes according to plan and if nothing does

Speaker 2:

- sufficient background information for everyone to understand your proposal
- statement of the research problem and goals
- needed resources to complete the work
- societal impact if all goes well

each partner speaks long enough to establish flow



More options (for specific kinds of projects)

- Two discrete research questions OR
- Two discrete methods
 - each partner follows one strand
 - introductory and concluding material each presented by a single partner
- Other possibilities, depending on the particulars of your material

Help focus the audience's attention on the right speaker

- During overview, identify who will speak on what topic
- Review/Preview as you proceed through the talk
 - Articulate transitions explicitly -- “hand off”
- Only one partner “onstage” at a time
 - If you’re not speaking, don’t hover nearby
- Do not interrupt each other

Rehearse as a team

- Familiarize yourself with partner's material
- Note timing of each section and of talk as a whole
- Aim for similar speaking styles
 - don't imitate each other, but match formality/engagement levels
- Practice moving into speaking position at transition points
- Will you advance each other's slides?
- Practice Q&A



<http://smu.edu/bobhope/images/hope-crosby.jpg>

Revision is an essential part of the collaborative process

- Be prepared: collaborative presentations require more revision than individual ones
- Invest yourself in the success of the presentation as a whole
 - don't get too emotionally attached to your own contributions
- Rehearse before AND after you revise

Critique your presentation's organization

- Does our talk fit together as a coherent whole?
- Are all sections of the talk adequately developed?
 - Do we have a focused, well-defined hypothesis?
 - Is it clear **what** is going to be done and **how**?
 - Have we realistically articulated the scope of the work?
- Have we omitted extraneous material?
- Will our project fire up an audience's interest?
- What might make this proposal more convincing to a funding body?

Critique your presentation's slide design

- Is everything on the slide readable?
- Are our slides a good balance of text and figures?
- Have we chosen clear, specific titles that express the main point of each slide?
- Is the design/format of our slides consistent, or were they obviously designed by different people?

Critique your (joint) delivery

- Can we get through our entire presentation in 12 minutes?
- Do we know where to position ourselves, and how to coordinate our shifts smoothly?
- Do our speaking styles work well together?
- Are we making the transitions between topics and speakers clear to the audience?
- Is each individual speaker clear and understandable?

For more information

- Useful tips on creating funding proposals at <http://www.wvu.edu/depts/rsp/insideview.pdf>
- "Guide for Proposal Writing," National Science Foundation, 18 Feb. 2004, <http://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2004/nsf04016/nsf04016.pdf>
- Andrew J. Friedland and Carol Folt, *Writing Successful Science Proposals* (Yale, 2000).