## Adv. Mol. Bio. BMB625 Evaluation of Introduction

Presenter Name:			_			
Topic:	Date:		_			
Evaluator Name:	<u> </u>					
Content:						
Was sufficient background information presented?		poor1		3	xcell	
Was there a thoughtful, compete "set up" for the next pre	esentation?	1	2	3	4	5
Was there a critical evaluation/explanation of the method	ls or experimer	ıtal				
model?		1	2	3	4	5
Were slides explained well?		1	2	3	4	5
Was the general relevance of the topic described?		1	2	3	4	5
Were any special methods explained?		1	2	3	4	5
Did the presenter introduce special models, proteins, theo	ories or terms					
needed to understand the topic?		1 2	2 3	3 4	1 5	5
Did the presenter discover and use any new "bonus mater	rials," figures,	papers	i			
or other teaching tools?		1	2	3	4	5
Presentation:						
Was the presentation organized in a clear, orderly fashion	n?	1	2	3	4	5
Did the presenter manage his/her allotted time well?		1	2	3	4	5
Were slides/handouts appropriate and helpful to the audie	ence?	1	2	3	4	5
Was the presenter responsive to audience questions?		1	2	3	4	5
Were the presentation and the speaker well prepared?		1	2	3	4	5
Was the presenter active, engaged, connected with the au	idience?	1	2	3	4	5
Overall impression:		1	2	3	4	5

## **Constructive critique:**

**Comments**