## Adv. Mol. Bio. BMB625 Evaluation of Presenter 2

Presenter Name:	<u> </u>	_			
Topic:	Date:	_			
Evaluator Name:					
Content:					
Was sufficient background information presented?	1			xcel	
Were there clearly stated hypotheses or questions?	1	2	3	4	5
Was there a critical evaluation/explanation of the methods					
or experimental model?	1	2	3	4	5
Were figures and data explained well?	1	2	3	4	5
Was each experiment's relevance expressed?	1	2	3	4	5
Was the work summarized?	1	2	3	4	5
Did the presenter identify future directions for this topic?	1	2	3	4	5
Were competing explanations or theories considered and dea	ılt				
with properly?	1	2	3	4	5
Were any "bonus materials" discovered and used effectively	?1	2	3	4	5
Presentation:					
Was the presentation organized in a clear, orderly fashion?	1	2	3	4	5
Did the presenter manage his/her allotted time well?	1	2	3	4	5
Were slides/handouts appropriate and helpful to the audience	?1	2	3	4	5
Was the presenter responsive to audience questions?	1	2	3	4	5
Were the presentation and the speaker well prepared?	1	2	3	4	5
Overall impression:	1	2	3	4	5
Comments:					

## **Constructive critique:**